AO 120 (Rev. 2/99)

TO:

Mail Stop 8
Director of the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

### REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

In Compliance with 35 § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been

filed in the U.S. Di	istrict Court Northern D	istrict of California on the following X Patents or	☐ Trademarks:
DOCKET NO.	DATE FILED	U.S. DISTRICT COURT	
CV 11-03450 PSG	7/14/2011	280 South First Street, Rm 2112, San	Jose, CA 95113
PLAINTIFF		DEFENDANT	
SECUGEN CORP.		SUPREMA INC.	
PATENT OR	DATE OF PATENT		ADFMARK
TRADEMARK NO.	OR TRADEMARK		
1 6,324,020		SEE ATTACHED COMPLAINT	
2			
3			
4			
5			
In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s) have been included:			
DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY		
		Timorio Contrato Cont	Other Pleading
PATENT OR	DATE OF PATENT		ADEMARK
TRADEMARK NO.	OR TRADEMARK		-
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
7 .1 1	2.1 1 .1 6.11		
In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:			
DECISION/JUDGEMENT			
CLERK (BY		(BY) DEPUTY CLERK	DATE
Richard W. Wieking		Betty Walton	July 20, 2011

Brian E. Mitchell (SBN 190095) Matthew Powers (SBN 124493) **Jigang Jin (SBN 239465)** MITCHELL + COMPANY, LAW OFFICES 3 4 Embarcadero Center, Suite 1400 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 766-3515 (415) 402-0058 Facsimile: 5 Email: info@mcolawoffices.com Craig C. Daniel (SBN 212588) E-1006 Axcel Law Partners LLP 7 548 Market St #16281 San Francisco, CA 94104 8 (415) 704.8800 Telephone: Facsimile: (415) 704.8804 9 Email: cdaniel@ax-law.com 10 Attorneys for Plaintiff 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 14 15 SecuGen Corporation, No. 16 Plaintiff, **COMPLAINT FOR PATENT** v. 17 **INFRINGEMENT** Suprema, Inc., 18 Defendant. **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 19 20 21 Plaintiff SecuGen Corporation ("SecuGen") for its Complaint against Suprema, Inc. 22 ("Suprema") alleges as follows: 23 NATURE OF ACTION 24 1. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35, United States Code, §§ 100 et seq. 26 27 28 COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT (DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL)

EWBE

#### **PARTIES**

- Plaintiff SecuGen is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the
   State of Delaware, having its principal place of business at 2065 Martin Avenue, Suite 108, Santa Clara, CA 95050.
- 3. On information and belief, Defendant Suprema is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the Republic of Korea, having its principal place of business at 16F Parkview Office Tower, Jeongja-dong, Bundang-gu Seongnam, Gyeonggi, South Korea.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a)
- 5. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b). Suprema is transacting, doing and/or soliciting business and committing acts of patent infringement in this judicial district and elsewhere in the United States.

#### BACKGROUND

- 6. Plaintiff SecuGen is a leading provider of biometric devices including fingerprint recognition devices ("FRD"). An FRD is a device used to identify any individual by his or her fingerprint. FRDs have a variety of uses including, among other things, providing security for electronic devices such as computers, door locks, and cell phones.
- 7. On November 27, 2001, U.S. Patent No. 6,324,020 ("the '020 patent") entitled "Method and Apparatus for Reduction of Trapezoidal Distortion and Improvement of Image Sharpness in an Optical Image Capturing System" was duly and legally issued to inventors Harry H. Teng and Sung-Chan Jo. SecuGen is the owner by assignment of all rights, title and interest in the '020 patent.
- 8. The technology disclosed and claimed in the '020 patent is directed to optics modules used in FRDs. Defendant Suprema imports into the United States, offers to sell, distributes and sells within the United States infringing FRDs including, among others, Access Control/Time Attendance FRDs (including but not necessarily limited to the BioStation series, the

BioEntryPlus series, the BioLiteNet series, the BioStation T2, the D-Station, and the BioLite Solo FRDs), Embedded Modules FRDs ((including but not necessarily limited to the SFM3020-OP, SFM3030-OD, SFM3520-OP, SFM3530-OD, SFM4020-OP, SFM3040-OC and SFM2020-OP FRDs) and PC solutions FRDs ((including but not necessarily limited to the BioMini, BioMiniPlus, SFU300, and SFU500). The foregoing devices listed in this paragraph will be referred to collectively herein as the "Suprema FRDs."

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Infringement of the '020 patent)

- 9. SecuGen incorporates the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1-9 above as if fully set forth herein.
- 10. The Suprema FRDs that Suprema imports into the United States, and distributes, offers to sell and sells within the United States include optics modules that are covered by one or more claims of the '020 patent.
- 11. Suprema's importation into the United States, and offers to sell, distribution, and sales within the United States of the Suprema FRDs that contain optics modules that are covered by one or more claims of the '020 patent is unauthorized.
- 12. Suprema's importation into the United States, and distribution, offers to sell and sales within the United States of Suprema FRDs that contain optics modules that are covered by one or more claims of the '020 patent thus constitutes infringement of the '020 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a).
- 13. Suprema is aware of the '020 patent, but yet it knowingly and actively induces third-parties to use infringing Suprema FRDs within the United States. Suprema thus actively induces infringement of the '020 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b).
- 14. The optics modules incorporated into the Suprema FRDs that Suprema imports into the United States, distributes, offers to sell and sells within the United States are not staple articles or commodities of commerce and have no substantial non-infringing use. On information and belief, Suprema knows that such optics modules are especially made or especially adapted for use

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT (DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL)

## DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38, SecuGen hereby demands trial by jury of all issues triable to a jury. Dated: July 13, 2011 Respectfully submitted, By: /s/ Brian E. Mitchell Brian E. Mitchell Brian E. Mitchell MITCHELL + COMPANY, LAW OFFICES 4 Embarcadero Center, Suite 1400 San Francisco, CA 94111 Attorneys for Plaintiff